

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Servants – Agricultural Marketing Department – Departmental Proceedings initiated against Sri B. Jagadeesh Kumar, Assistant Engineer(M) on deputation from Irrigation Department for allegation of irregularities in construction of compound walls at Cattle Yard and Cotton Yard in A.M.C., Bhainsa, etc.– Further action dropped – Orders – Issued.

=====

AGRICULTURE & COOPERATION (VIG.II) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 973

Dated: 11-08-2009

Read the following :-

1. From C&DOAM, Hyd. Lr.No.X(1)281/2005, dt.6-12-2005.
2. Govt.Memo No.11880/Vig.II(1)2001-8, dt.23-01-2005.
3. GORt.No.402, Irrigation and CAD((Ser.IV)Dept., dt.06-03-2006.
4. From Sri B. Jagadeesh Kumar, A.E. Written statement of defence, dt.12-07-2006.
5. Govt.Memo No.11880/Vig.II(1)/2001-11, dt.12-10-2006.
6. Govt.Memo No.11880/Vig.II(1)/2001-12, dt.12-10-2006.
7. Govt.Memo No.11880/Vig.II(1)/2001-14, dt.02-05-2008.
8. From COI-CK, G.A(COI-CK)Dept. Govt.of A.P.,Hyderabad Inquiry Report dt.22-04-2009.

--oOo--

ORDER:

It was brought to the notice of the Government that Sri B. Jagadeesh Kumar, while working as Assistant Engineer(M), Warangal on deputation from Irrigation Department had allegedly committed certain irregularities in construction of compound walls at Cattle Yard and Cotton Yard in A.M.C., Bhainsa, etc. Accordingly, in the reference 3rd read above, departmental proceedings were initiated by framing the following article of charges against him:-

Charge-1: *“That Sri B. Jagadeesh Kumar, Assistant Engineer, while working as Assistant Engineer(M) on deputation from 1-2-1995 to 31-07-1999 executed the work of construction of balance compound wall sanctioned at cotton yard at cattle yard. The work sanctioned at cotton yard was deliberately not taken up and now part of cotton yard, i.e. 1 acre 3 guntas stand encroached, resulting in several lakhs of property in litigation. He has connived with local residents for encroachment of government land. Thereby Sri B. Jagadeesh Kumar behaved in a manner unbecoming of a Govt.Servant and violated Rule 3 of A.P.C.S.(Conduct)Rules, 1964”*

Charge-2: *“That Sri B. Jagadeesh Kumar, while working as Assistant Engineer(M) as such executed a road work at the Cattle Yard incurring an expenditure of Rs.7,57,000/- without sanction and without prior approval with a malafide intention. As the above expenditure incurred was unauthorized, it is liable for recovery and Sri B. Jagadeesh Kumar is responsible for the above lapse and become liable for recovery of Rs.3,78,500/-. The road work so laid against sanction is found less during the inspection of S.E.(M), Hyderabad gravel compacted 15 cm against payment made for 30 cm and metal found at 5 cm compacted against 10 cm thick paid which resulted in fraudulent payment of Rs.1,53,863/- for which no work was done. By this above acts, Sri B. Jagadeesh Kumar behaved in a manner unbecoming of a Govt. Servant and violated Rule 3 of the A.P.C.S.(Conduct)Rules, 1964”.*

Charge-3: *“That Sri B. Jagadeesh Kumar, Assistant Engineer(M) has re-executed and re-laid to part of the road work already executed to tamper the evidence. During re-execution of the work, officers inspected and police complaint was lodged besides taking video graphing. As such he connived for removing evidence, which is nothing but criminal conspiracy which unbecoming on part of Govt. Servant and thereby violated Rule 3 of the A.P.C.S.(Conduct)Rules, 1964”.*

2. The Charged Officer has submitted his written statement of defence denying the charges framed against him. As the written statement of defence submitted by the Charged Officer was found to be not convincing, Government have entrusted the case to the Commissioner of Inquiries for common inquiry into the charges framed against the aforesaid Charged Officer and along with two other Charged Officers.

(PTO)

3. After conducting a common inquiry, the C.O.I.-CK has furnished the Inquiry Report concluding that the prosecution could not establish the case against the Charged Officer Sri B. Jagadeesh Kumar, Assistant Engineer(M) on deputation from Irrigation Department and other two Charged Officers in the above case and recommended that the charges may be dropped against all the three Charged Officers.
4. Government, after careful examination of the matter, have decided to accept the Inquiry Report of the C.O.I.-CK and drop further action against the Charged Officer Sri B. Jagadeesh Kumar, Assistant Engineer(M) on deputation from Irrigation Department.
5. Accordingly, Government hereby drop further action against Sri B. Jagadeesh Kumar, Assistant Engineer(M) on deputation from Irrigation Department, as the charges are held not proved in a common inquiry.
6. The Commissioner and Director of Agricultural Marketing, Hyderabad shall take necessary action in the matter, accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

K. R. KISHORE
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
Sri B. Jagadeesh Kumar,
Assistant Engineer(M) on deputation from Irrigation Department
(Through: The Commissioner & Director of Agricultural Marketing, A.P., Hyderabad)

Copy to: -
The Commissioner & Director of Agricultural Marketing, A.P., Hyderabad.
The Irrigation and C.A.D.(Ser.IV) Department.
The Secretary, A.P.V.C., Hyderabad(No.3104/VC.I(2)/2009)
Sf/Sc.

// FORWARDED :: BY ORDER //

SECTION OFFICER